

### Remarks

This is in response to the third Restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed June 8, 2007. The Applicant appreciates the continued painstaking review of the claims by the Examiner, and believes that the case is now ready for substantive examination in view of the election set forth below.

### Restriction/Election Requirement

The Office Action required restriction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §121 as follows:

Group I: Claims 21-23 and 31-33

Group II: Claims 24-25 and 34-35

Group III: Claims 28 and 37

Group IV: Claims 29 and 38

Group V: Claim 39

Independent claims 19 and 30 were properly identified as linking claims that link the claims of the various Groups I through V. The Examiner also graciously consented to examine dependent claims 26-27 and 36 along with claims 19 and 30.

**In response, the Applicant hereby elects without traverse the invention of Group I, with claims 19, 21-23, 26-27, 30-33, and 36 readable thereon.** Upon allowance of the respective independent linking claims 19 and 30, the Applicant will be entitled to consideration of the remaining non-elected claims, as noted by the Examiner.


### **Conclusion**

This is intended to be a complete response to the third Restriction Requirement mailed June 8, 2007. The Applicant respectfully requests examination and allowance of the elected claims, as well as the non-elected claims upon allowance of the independent linking claims.

Should any questions arise concerning this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the below signed attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Randall K. McCarthy, Registration No. 39,297  
Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey and Tippens  
100 N. Broadway, Suite 1700  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102  
Telephone: (405) 232-0621  
Facsimile: (405) 232-9659  
Customer No. 33900